



CHECK LIST FOR REVIEW OF DELIVERABLES¹

Deliverable title	D5.5 - Inter-project coaching
Work package	WP5 – Quality assurance and monitoring
Author	Sally Priest
Deliverable Date	08 March 2018

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"

¹ This questionnaire concerns quality issues of NatRisk upon completion of each deliverable. Please complete the form and submit it by email Project Coordinator (natriskuni@gmail.com) and WP leader. The achieved results will be useful for the project's risk management. They will also make part of the QAC final report, as well as of the Coordinator's progress and final reporting to EACEA.





Assurance point	Issues to be	Assessment	Comments	Reccomendations		
	addressed					
Compliance with	Does the	X Yes				
NatRisk objectives	deliverable comply					
	with the overall	☐ No				
	objectives of the	Partially				
	project?	rarany				
Compliance with the	Does the			The report details what		
specfic objectives of	deliverable comply	∑ Yes		was undertaken ok - it		
the WP	with the WP	□ No		is good to see the cross-		
the VVI	Objectives as			project knowledge		
	specified in the WP	☐ Partially		1 2		
				exchange		
6 1	description?					
Correspondence	Does the	X Yes				
with the description	deliverable					
of work of the	correspond with	∐ No				
relevant activity	the activity	Partially				
	description as					
	specified in the					
	Application Form?					
Compliance with	Is the deliverable	∇ v _{~~}				
the deliverables	presented using the	∑ Yes				
format	Project's	☐ No				
	deliverable format	Partially				
	- Annex B?					
Adequacy of	Examples of	\	Additional			
complementary	complementary	Yes	materials			
information	info:	No	include:			
	- Sources used,	Partially	attendance list,			
	- Bibliography,	rardany	event			
	- List of contacts,		presentations,			
	- Methodology used.		evaluation lists,			
	Triemonotogy meen.		agenda etc			
Adequacy of	Level of written		. 0			
written language	English	Excellent				
···gamge	211811011	Adequate				
		Poor				
0 11		1 001				
Overall assessment						
and suggestions for						
improvement						
Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverable						